

December 6, 2001

Ms. Anne M. Constantine Legal Counsel D/FW International Airport P.O. Box 619128 DFW Airport, Texas 75261-9428

OR2001-5690

Dear Ms. Constantine:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 155777.

The Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board (the "board") received a request for the "Summary of Bonuses" information regarding a certain airport construction project. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under the common law right of privacy. You have notified Thomas S. Byrne, Inc., (the "contractor") of the request pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code. See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information. We have also considered the comments submitted to this office by the requestor. See Gov't Code § 552.304.

Pursuant to section 552.305, an interested private party is allowed 10 business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, this office has received no comments from the contractor. Thus, the contractor has not demonstrated that any of the requested information may be withheld from disclosure. See Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (if governmental body takes no position, attorney general will grant exception to disclosure if third party makes prima facie case that information qualifies as trade secret under statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.110(a), and no argument is presented that rebuts claim as matter of law), 661 at 5-6 (1999) (business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under Gov't Code § 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm). We next address the board's claim.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 552.101 encompasses common law privacy and excepts from disclosure private facts about an individual. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Information may be withheld from the public under common law privacy when (1) it is highly intimate and embarrassing such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities and (2) there is no legitimate public interest in its disclosure. *Id.* at 685; Open Records Decision No. 611 at 1 (1992). Common law privacy protects the rights of individuals, not corporations. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 192 (1978), 620 (1993) (corporation has no common law privacy interest in its financial information); *see also United States v. Morton Salt Co.*, 338 U.S. 632, 652 (1950).

This office has found that an individual's personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure under common law privacy. See Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990). You assert that the requested information relates to financial transactions between the contractor and its employees, and not to a transaction between those employees and the board. Based upon your arguments and a review of the submitted information, we conclude that the portions of the submitted information that we have marked must be withheld under section 552.101 and the common law right of privacy. We note that the requestor in this case has a special right of access to his own financial information under section 552.023. Therefore, those portions of the submitted information pertaining to the requestor must be released to the requestor pursuant to section 552.023.

In summary, the board must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 and the common law right of privacy. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

¹Section 552.023(a) provides that "[a] person or a person's authorized representative has a special right of access, beyond the right of the general public, to information held by a governmental body that relates to the person and that is protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests."

Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

XYII

J. Steven Bohl

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

JSB/sdk

Ms. Anne M. Constantine - Page 4

Ref: ID# 155777

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Robert Panizo

8101 Pecan Ridge Drive

North Richland Hills, Texas 76180

(w/o enclosures)